1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
8	AT TACOMA		
9	CLARENCE J. FAULKNER,	NO. C08-5038RJB/JKA	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND	
11	v.	RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING	
12	SHANE MAITLAND, et al.,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL	
13 14	Defendants.	AND ORDERING DISMISSAL	
15			
16	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 35) of the		
17	Honorable J. Kelley Arnold, United States Magistrate Judge, and Plaintiff's Motion for		
18	Appointment of Counsel (Dkt. 39). The Court has considered the Report and Recommendation		
19	(Dkt. 35); Plaintiff's Objections to the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 38); Defendants'		
20	Response to Plaintiff's Objections (Dkt. 40); Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Dkt.		
21	39); Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 41); and the remainder		
22	of the file herein.		
23	Plaintiff filed a Complaint on February 7, 2008. Dkt. 5. In his complaint, Plaintiff, an		
24	inmate, alleges that his right to receive and send mail and his right to due process were violated.		
25	Plaintiff further alleges that he has been continually harassed and intimidated for his attempts to		
26	seek informal resolution of his mail-related concerns.		
27	Plaintiff filed his first Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 16.) on June 3, 2008. The motion		
28	was denied on June 11, 2008. Dkt. 19. On October 15, 2008, Defendants filed a Motion for		
	ORDER - 1		

1	Summary Judgment. Dkt. 32. Plaintiff filed his Response (Dkt. 33) on October 31, 2008, and		
2	Defendants filed their Reply (Dkt. 34) on November 6, 2008.		
3	On November 20, 2008, Magistrate Judge J. Kelley Arnold issued a Report and		
4	Recommendation, which concluded that Plaintiff's claims cannot survive summary judgment.		
5	Dkt. 35. Plaintiff filed his Objections to the Report and Recommendation on December 2, 2008		
6	Dkt. 38. Also on December 2, 2008, Plaintiff filed a second Motion to Appoint Counsel. Dkt.		
7	39. Defendants filed their Response to Plaintiff's Objections to Judge Arnold's Report and		
8	Recommendation on December 15, 2008. Dkt. 40. The same day, Defendants also filed their		
9	Response to Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel. Dkt. 41.		
10	Plaintiff's second Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 39) is substantively the same as his		
11	first Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 16). For the reasons given in Judge Arnold's Order		
12	denying Plaintiff's First Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 19), Plaintiff's second Motion to		
13	Appoint Counsel should be denied.		
14	The Court, having reviewed the file and the pertinent documents, concurs with the		
15	reasoning and findings of Judge Arnold's Report and Recommendation. The issues that Plaintiff		
16	raised in his objections fail to rebut Judge Arnold's conclusion that Plaintiff's claims cannot		
17	survive summary judgment.		
18	Therefore, the Court does hereby find and ORDER :		
19	(1) Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel (Dkt. 39) is DENIED ;		
20	(2) The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 35); and		
21	(3) Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32) is GRANTED . Plaintiff's Complaint is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE .		
22	(4) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff, Counsel for		
23	Defendants, and to the Hon. J. Kelley Arnold.		
24	DATED this 31 st day of December, 2008.		
25	PIAZ		
26	ROBERT LARRYAN		
27	United States District Judge		

28